The 2040 Vision: Planning For More
What is the Caltrain Business Plan?

What
Addresses the future potential of the railroad over the next 20-30 years. It will assess the benefits, impacts, and costs of different service visions, building the case for investment and a plan for implementation.

Why
Allows the community and stakeholders to engage in developing a more certain, achievable, financially feasible future for the railroad based on local, regional, and statewide needs.
What Will the Business Plan Cover?

Technical Tracks

**Service**
- Number of trains
- Frequency of service
- Number of people riding the trains
- Infrastructure needs to support different service levels

**Business Case**
- Value from investments (past, present, and future)
- Infrastructure and operating costs
- Potential sources of revenue

**Community Interface**
- Benefits and impacts to surrounding communities
- Corridor management strategies and consensus building
- Equity considerations

**Organization**
- Organizational structure of Caltrain including governance and delivery approaches
- Funding mechanisms to support future service
Where Are We in the Process?

We Are Here
Service Planning
Service Planning Process & Goals

- 2040 Market Demand
- Service Concept Development
- Service Concept Evaluation
Choosing a Vision: How Will the Railroad Grow?

What  In the Spring of 2019 the team will present two growth scenarios to the Board. One will generally reflect past and ongoing Blended System planning efforts while another will explore a higher level of growth. Each scenario will provide a detailed picture of how the railroad could grow over the next 20-30 years. The Board will be asked to choose one of these growth scenarios as the “Service Vision” for the corridor.

Why  In selecting a long range Service Vision the Board will answer the question “How should the railroad grow?” This will allow Caltrain to further optimize and refine the Vision while developing a Business Plan that builds towards the future in a consistent and efficient manner.
Context: Two Ways to Grow

Current Operations 2018
Start of Electrified Operations 2022
High Speed Rail Phase 1 2033
Higher Growth Scenario 2040
Planned & Programmed Scenario 2040

Amount of Investment/Number of Trains

Design Year
What is the Process for Developing the Higher Growth Service Plan?

1. Develop service planning assumptions, parameters, and goals
2. Identify initial service approaches
3. Develop detailed peak hour concepts

Future Steps
4. Refine and evaluate detailed service concepts
5. Expand service concepts to include terminals in San Francisco and San Jose and service to South San Jose and Gilroy
6. Develop all-day and weekend service plans
Initial Service Planning: Geographic Scope

Initial service planning focuses on the Caltrain corridor between San Francisco and San Jose.

Terminal operations in San Francisco and San Jose will be analyzed next as will service to South San Jose, Morgan Hill, San Martin and Gilroy.
Initial service planning is focused on the AM and PM peak periods. All day service plans will be developed later in the service planning process.
Key Concept

Improving Service Requires Investment

There are many different ways to invest in a railroad.

Delivery of both the “Planned and Programmed” and “Higher Growth” scenarios will require substantial investment into the corridor.

Operations
- Increased service coordination and expanded operations to maximize the use of physical infrastructure

Systems
- Improved train performance
- Fleet expansion
- Improved train control and signaling

Infrastructure
- Track enhancement and expansion
- Station and terminal improvements
- Grade crossing investments
## Example Investments

As service plans are refined, conceptual investments will be detailed, costed and incorporated into the development of the Business Case for each Scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Investment Assumed in All Scenarios</th>
<th>Conceptual Additional Investment Needed to Support Higher Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curve straightening and track upgrades to support up to 110 mph operation</td>
<td>Potential 3- or 4-track overtakes to allow for additional service (either at stations or as “running” overtakes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New signaling system and PTC upgrades to support 2 min headways and 110 mph operation</td>
<td>No further enhancement necessary to support increased service levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catenary pole placement adjustment to enable 110 mph operation</td>
<td>Power supply and catenary system upgrades to support higher service levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some terminal and shared station modification as needed to support the Blended System</td>
<td>Terminal modifications or expansion to accommodate increased service levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform lengthening and level boarding</td>
<td>Additional platform lengthening to support longer train consists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full fleet electrification and expansion</td>
<td>Further fleet expansion to allow for increased service and longer trains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage and maintenance expansion / reconfiguration</td>
<td>Revised depot and maintenance strategy to accommodate increased fleet size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade separations and grade-crossing improvements</td>
<td>Additional grade separations and improvements to at-grade crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General station, customer amenity and access facility improvements</td>
<td>Improvements scaled with service levels and ridership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following rail operating parameters are used as the starting point for 2040 service planning. Some variation to these parameters may be explored as service planning progresses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HSR</th>
<th>Caltrain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum headway between trains</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnaround time at terminal</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Minimum station dwell time       | 2 minutes    | 1.0 (high-ridership stations)  
|                                  |              | 0.7 (low-ridership stations) |
| Train equipment                  | High speed trainset | 8-car electric multiple unit trainset |
| Speed limit                      | 110 MPH      | 110 MPH                  |
| Recovery time                    | 10% distributed | 10% distributed           |
Service Planning Goals

The following directional “goals” are suggested as the basis for developing initial service concepts. Not every goal is fully achievable within the constraints of the Caltrain corridor. Different concepts will achieve different goals with varying degrees of success.

Service
- Achieves 15 minute frequencies at most stations during peak
- Improves travel times between major markets
- Maintains service coverage between most origin-destination pairs

Ridership
- Provides differentiated service levels based on market demand
- Provides throughput capacity responsive to demand

Infrastructure
- Can be phased over time
- Meets multiple objectives
- Provides flexibility in response to changing demands
- Efficient design and sizing

Users
- Regularity, legible route structure & clockface schedule
- Reliability
- Facilitate Transfers to local, regional and state connections

Community
- Maintain local access and circulation
- Minimize mainline track expansions
Do you have any initial questions about the service planning process?
2040 Market Demand

Service Planning
Process and
Goals

2040 Market
Demand

Service Concept
Development

Service Concept
Evaluation
Existing Ridership

Today, Caltrain serves bidirectional and polycentric ridership demand
- 62,000 daily boardings\(^1\)
- 64%-36% NB-SB split during AM peak period
- Half of trips occur outside of San Francisco

Ridership is highly concentrated around stations with fastest & most frequent Service
- 73% of ridership at 8 Baby Bullet stations served by 4 or more trains per hour, per direction

Caltrain serves a relatively small share of corridor travel demand
- About 9% mode share for regional north-south travel
- Service, access, and capacity constrain ridership
- Latent demand for increased service at many stations

\(^1\)Based on 2017 ridership data
Existing Land Use & Transportation Context

1/2 Mile Station Area

600,000 people and jobs within 1/2 mile of Caltrain stations

2 Mile Station Area

3 million people and jobs within 2 miles of Caltrain stations
2040 Demand

The Caltrain corridor is growing
- Corridor expected to add 1.2 million people and jobs within 2 miles of Caltrain (+40%)\(^1\)
- 80% of growth expected in San Francisco and Santa Clara Counties

Major transit investments are opening new travel markets to Caltrain
- Downtown Extension and Central Subway to provide more direct connections to downtown San Francisco
- Dumbarton Rail, BART to San Jose, and improvements to Capitol Corridor and ACE to strengthen connectivity with East Bay
- HSR and Salinas rail extensions to increase interregional travel demand

\(^1\)Based on Plan Bay Area forecasts and approved projects by individual cities
\(^2\)Derived from a rough order-of-magnitude sensitivity test using the C/CAG Model
2040 Land Use & Transportation Context

Indicates a station where substantial growth beyond Plan Bay Area forecasts is anticipated, but not yet approved.

4.2 million people and jobs within 2 miles of Caltrain stations

1 million people and jobs within 1/2 mile of Caltrain stations
2040 Stations with Higher Demand Potential

1/2 Mile Station Area

2 Mile Station Area
2040 Stations with Moderate Demand Potential

1/2 Mile Station Area

2 Mile Station Area

# of People + Jobs

Moderate Activity Center

Minor Activity Center

Regional Transit Hub

Major Activity Center

# of People

+ Jobs

San Bruno

South SF

Hayward Park

San Carlos

Morgan Hill

Lawrence

Menlo Park

Palo Alto

Redwood City

San Carlos

San Francisco

San Jose

San Mateo

Mountain View

Santa Clara

Tamaran

Capitol

Blossom Hill

San Martin

San Gilroy

# of People

75,000

125,000

25,000

37,500

50,000

62,500

75,000

87,500

100,000

112,500

125,000

137,500

150,000

162,500

175,000

187,500

200,000

Minor Activity Center

Moderate Activity Center

Major Activity Center

Regional Transit Hub
2040 Stations with Lower Demand Potential

1/2 Mile Station Area

2 Mile Station Area
Exploring the Potential Long Term Demand for Caltrain Service

Using Plan Bay Area numbers for projected growth in jobs and housing, an unconstrained model run of high frequency, all-day BART-like service in the Caltrain corridor suggests that by 2040 there could be demand for nearly 250,000 daily trips on the system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017: 92 Trains/Day</th>
<th>2040: ~360 Trains/Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>243,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>188,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Peak</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 2017, 92 Trains per Day
- 2040, ~360 Trains per Day
To comfortably serve this level of demand in 2040, Caltrain would need to operate 8 trains per hour, per direction (TPHPD) with 10 car trains or 12 TPHPD with 8 or 10 car trains.
Does the analysis of 2040 demand potential shown ring true for your community and stations?

Do you have any questions about the analysis and “sizing” of potential long term demand?
Service Concept Development
Planning within Constraints – Tradeoffs and Choices Required

The Caltrain corridor is not a blank slate. Service can be improved and expanded but tradeoffs and choices are required. There is no perfect answer.

1. Service Differentiation
How can local, regional and high speed services be blended and balanced on the corridor to best serve multiple markets?

2. Peak Service Volume
How much growth in peak train traffic volume can the corridor support and what kinds of growth may be required to meet long term demand?

3. Service Investments
What types of investments into operations, systems and infrastructure will be required to achieve the desired types and volumes of service?
Overtakes
- Caltrain understands that expansion of rail infrastructure is an extraordinarily sensitive issue for corridor communities
- The concepts shown deliberately analyze a range of infrastructure levels to illustrate trade-offs relative to service outcomes
- Overtakes are shown both at stations ("standing") and along longer track segments ("running")

At Grade Crossings
- All of the concepts shown relate to a potential “high growth” scenario
- We understand that the volumes of train traffic shown will impact at-grade crossings
- Grade separations and improvements to at-grade crossings will be discussed and accounted for in the plan

Service at Broadway, Atherton & College Park Stations
- Service to College Park is assumed to continue in the future as it does today (on a limited/exception basis)
- Restoring weekday service to Broadway and Atherton generally requires redistributing service/stops from adjoining stations
- Restored service to Broadway is shown in the following concepts
- Restored service to Atherton is still under study

Important Notes and Caveats
The Service concepts shown are intended to illustrate tradeoffs and to help guide the selection of promising options for further study and refinement.
Caltrain’s existing service is complex and highly customized across the peak period, including express, zone, and skip stop service.

The diagram to the right shows a “simplified” representation of typical peak hour northbound, weekday service.

The bars on the far right represent the average number of stops per direction each station receives. Today, northbound and southbound service is not symmetrical meaning that some stations receive significantly more stops per hour in either the north- or southbound direction.

Today, 7 of 25 Caltrain stations receive 4 or more TPHPD during the peak period. On average, stations are served by about 2 TPHPD.
Service Approaches & Peak Hour Concepts

The service planning work began by initially considering three different “approaches” or styles of service that could be used on the corridor in 2040.

Illustrative peak hour service concepts were then developed using each of the three different approaches.
Zone Express

Description:
Local service within a zone, then express service to major markets

Typical Applications:
Commuter rail lines with a single major employment center as destination

Pros
- Provides semi-express trips to major terminal from all markets
- Ability to effectively match available seats to market demand by adjusting size of zone

Cons
- Lacks good internal connectivity, transfer required to get from zone to zone
- Requires multiple trains to serve all markets.
- Operational complexity results in more difficult transition to off peak and contingency plans
## Features
- Provides 15-minute service to all stations except Broadway/Burlingame with two semi express zone patterns
- Major activity centers receive 8 TPH
- Direct service from all markets to major activity centers, but transfer required between minor stations in different zones

## Passing Track Needs
- Requires 2 new miles of 4-track passing track between Hayward Park to Hillsdale and a 4-track station in northern Santa Clara county (shown: California Ave)

## Options with Service Structure
- Each pattern can only stop at 2 of the 4 stations north of Millbrae
- Middle-zone train needs to stop at two stations south of California Ave
- Flexible station-based overtake location in northern Santa Clara County

### Illustrative Stopping Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOW FREQUENCY</th>
<th>FREQUENT</th>
<th>HIGH FREQUENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>LOW FREQUENCY</th>
<th>FREQUENT</th>
<th>HIGH FREQUENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayshore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bruno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millbrae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose Diridon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corridor Travel Time**
Zone Express = 67 min

[Diagram and table showing service structure and travel times]
Zone Express: 16 Trains per Hour

Features
- Provides 15-minute service to all stations except Broadway/Burlingame with three semi express zone patterns (with major activity centers receiving 12 TPH)
- Direct service from all markets to major activity centers, but transfer required between minor stations in different zones

Passing Track Needs
- 15 miles of new 4-track segment required: south of Bayshore to San Bruno, mid-Peninsula (shown: Hillsdale to San Carlos), northern Santa Clara County (shown: California Avenue to north of Mountain View), and south of Lawrence to Santa Clara

Options with Service Structure
- Flexible location for 3 mile passing track in mid-Peninsula and 5 mile passing track in northern Santa Clara County

Illustrative Stopping Pattern

Corridor Travel Time
Zone Express = 63 min
Local/Express

Description:
Local service with express line between major markets

Typical Applications:
High volume transit lines and polycentric corridors

Pros

- Serves all markets with single train providing simple connectivity between all stations.
- Regional express train provides faster direct trips between major markets.
- Consistent and high level of frequencies at all station types.
- "Legibility" of service plan for customer.
- Easy transition to off peak.

Cons

- Differential in run times between local and express makes application challenging on two track corridor.
- Inclusion of multiple overtakes could result in extended run times for local service.
- Transfers may be required to achieve fast trip times between local markets and terminal stations.
Local/Express: 12 Trains

Features
- Regional Express serves all Major Activity Centers at 15-minute headways
- All stations receive local service at 15-minute headways except Broadway and Burlingame
- Timed local-express transfer at Redwood City

Passing Track Needs
- 10 miles of new 4-track passing tracks: Hayward Park to Redwood City and northern Santa Clara County (shown: California Avenue to north of Mountain View)

Options with Service Structure
- One stop on Express Train can be flexible between Millbrae and Redwood City
- One or two stops on express south of Palo Alto can be flexible
- Flexible 5 mile passing track location in northern Santa Clara County

Illustrative Stopping Pattern

- Some variation in service levels and stopping pattern possible

Corridor Travel Time
- Local = 78 min
- Express = 55 min
Local/Express: 16 Trains

Features

- Complete local stop service
- Two express lines serving major markets
- All stations receive at least 4 TPH, with many receiving 8 or 12 TPH

Passing Track Needs

- 15 miles of new 4 track passing tracks: South San Francisco to Millbrae, Hayward Park to Redwood City, and northern Santa Clara County (shown: California Avenue to north of Mountain View)

Options with Service Structure

- Express B pattern must run non-stop from 22nd St to San Mateo, but has some flexibility in number and location of stops along mid-Peninsula
- Flexible 5 mile passing track location in northern Santa Clara County
- Passing tracks between Lawrence and San Jose may enhance reliability and save 1-2 min of travel time for HSR and Caltrain (for passengers traveling south of Diridon)

Illustrative Stopping Pattern

Corridor Travel Time

Local = 78 min
Express = 59 min
Local/Express: 12 Trains - Reduced Passing Tracks

Features
- Regional Express serves all Major Activity Centers at 15-minute headways
- Most stations served by local service at 15 minute headways
- Closely-spaced mid-Peninsula stations served at 30 minute headways (Broadway, Burlingame, San Mateo, Belmont, and San Carlos)
- Timed local-express transfer at Redwood City

Passing Track Needs
- 3 miles of new 4-track passing tracks: Hayward Park to Hillsdale, at Redwood City, and a 4-track station in northern Santa Clara county (shown: California Ave)

Options with Service Structure
- Each local pattern can only stop once Millbrae to Hillsdale
- Each local pattern can only stop once Hillsdale to Redwood City
- Flexible station overtake location in northern Santa Clara County

Illustrative Stopping Pattern
(Some variation in service levels and stopping pattern possible)

Corridor Travel Time
Local = 80 min
Express = 55 min
Local/Express: 16 Trains

Features
- Local service becomes skip-stop service
- All stations receive 15 minute headways with major stations receiving 8 or 12 trans per hour
- Many station pairs require transfer at regional hubs
- ~50% of station OD pairs between 22nd Street and San Carlos are not served at all

Passing Track Needs
- 3 miles of new 4-track passing tracks: Hayward Park to Hillsdale, at Redwood City, and at a 4-track station in northern Santa Clara county (shown: California Ave)

Options with Service Structure
- Generally need each pattern to stop at every other station
- Pattern overtaken by express must stop at Hayward Park & Hillsdale; other pattern cannot stop at these stations
- Flexible station overtake location in northern Santa Clara County

Corridor Travel Time
- Skip Stop Local = 67 min
- Express = 55 min

Illustrative Stopping Pattern
(Some variation in service levels and stopping pattern possible)
Skip Stop

**Description:**
Multiple lines with limited stopping patterns

**Typical Applications:**
High-volume transit lines with constrained infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Faster trip times for local service vs all stop trains.</td>
<td>• Many local station pairs not served with direct service, transfer required. Some minor pairs not served at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fast trip times and high frequencies between major stations</td>
<td>• Service plan may be confusing for non-regular users of the system, and in case of service disruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ability to deliver more total seats (double the trains, same station headways)</td>
<td>• Requires multiple trains to serve all markets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Operational complexity results in more difficult transition to off peak and contingency plans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skip Stop: 16 Trains per Hour

Features
- Provides 15-minute service to all stations with three skip stop patterns
- Major activity centers receive 8 TPH
- Direct service from all markets to major activity centers, but transfer required between minor stations in different zones

Passing Track Needs
- 3 miles of passing track between Hayward Park and Hillsdale, at Redwood City, and at a station in northern Santa Clara county (shown: California Ave)

Options with Service Structure
- Some flexibility in stopping pattern along each line; however, some origin-destination pairs of nearby stations cannot be served

Illustrative Stopping Pattern
(Some variation in service levels and stopping pattern possible)

Corridor Travel Time
Skip Stop = 63 min
Service Concept Evaluation

Service Planning
Goals

2040 Market
Demand

Service Concept
Development

Service Concept
Evaluation
Zone Express Initial Evaluation

- Provides good coverage with all stations receiving at least 4 trains per hour with direct service to all major activity centers.
- Transfers required to travel between moderate and minor activity centers in different zones – with good connection at Redwood City.
- All stations get semi-express service to major activity centers, but no dedicated express train between major activity centers (~70 minute travel time).
- Some challenges with internal connectivity and legibility.
- Substantial passing tracks needed to achieve 16 trains per hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12 Trains</th>
<th>16 Trains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bruno</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millbrae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose Diridon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local/Express Initial Evaluation

- Provides dedicated express train service for major activity centers achieving best trip time for the most passengers
- All local stations except Broadway receive regular 15-minute local service; most stations receive express service under 16 train operation
- Mid-Peninsula hub planned at Redwood City allows for seamless connectivity (cross platform transfer) between local and express
- Significant passing track infrastructure required
Local/Express Initial Evaluation

Reduced Passing Tracks

- Provides dedicated express train service for major activity centers achieving best trip time for the most passengers
- Most local stations receive regular 15-minute local service, however, some local stations receive only 30-minute service
- Mid-Peninsula hub planned at Redwood City allows for seamless connectivity (cross platform transfer) between local and express
- 16 train skip stop pattern presents challenges with internal connectivity and legibility: half of OD pairs between 22nd Street and San Carlos are not served at all
- Passing Track length minimized. Flexibility regarding location of station-overtake in north Santa Clara County
Skip Stop Initial Evaluation

- Distributes relatively fast and frequent service across most stations
- Relatively fewer miles of passing tracks needed to achieve 16 trains per hour
- Does not provide differentiated products – end to end travel times are ~70 minutes
- Significant challenges for internal connectivity and legibility – service is difficult to understand and many station origin-destination pairs are not served
- Few comparable examples in operation
Service Comparison

Zone Express
- 12 Trains
- 16 Trains

Local/Express
- 12 Trains
- 16 Trains

Local/Express (Reduced Passing Tracks)
- 12 Trains
- 16 Trains

Skip Stop
- 16 Trains
Grade Crossing Impacts and Grade Separation Approaches are Part of the Business Plan:

The Plan Will:

- Document how the rail/community interface could change as the railroad and its surrounding communities grow
- Examine approaches used by national and international peer rail corridors to address at-grade crossings and grade separations
- Include a range of cost estimates for grade separations and treatments in the Business Case for both the Planned and Programed and Higher Growth Scenarios

Outcomes

- Work with the communities to identify next steps for how the corridor, not just individual projects, could be better managed to achieve both community and railroad goals. This includes considering both the appetite and need for a corridor-wide approach to address at-grade crossings.
Do you particularly like any of the service approaches and concepts shown? Do any of them concern you? Why?

What kinds of analysis or data would help you further understand and evaluate different service concepts?
Next Steps

Process

• Refine and explore service concepts further
• Evaluate and select service concept to represent higher growth scenario within Business Plan
• Terminal analysis (San Francisco and San Jose)
• South San Jose and Gilroy Service
• All day service plans and weekend service
• Continue grade separation / grade crossing discussion through Community Interface Assessment
Appendix:
Land Use Details & Service Concept Stringlines
## Land Use Planning Along Caltrain Corridor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Major Projects Included in Forecasts (Approved or consistent with Plan Bay Area projections)</th>
<th>Major Projects Noted but Not Quantified in Forecasts (Not yet approved and potentially inconsistent with Plan Bay Area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th &amp; King</td>
<td>Central SoMa Plan, Mission Bay &amp; Mission Rock</td>
<td>The Hub Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd St</td>
<td>Pier 70, Potrero Power Plant, India Basin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayshore</td>
<td>Hunters Point, Candlestick Point, Schlage Lock, Sierra Point buildout, Brisbane Baylands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South SF</td>
<td>6 MSF of approved East of 101 developments and the Downtown Station Area Specific Plan</td>
<td>Other employment projects in pipeline such as Genentech Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bruno</td>
<td>Transit Corridors Plan</td>
<td>Bayhill Specific Plan (Youtube)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millbrae</td>
<td>Station Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlingame</td>
<td>Burlingame Point (Facebook)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td>Downtown Area Plan</td>
<td>General Plan/Downtown Plan Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward Park</td>
<td>Nearby TOD projects under construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdale</td>
<td>Bay Meadows, Hillsdale Station Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>General Plan Update, Belmont Village Specific Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos</td>
<td>Meridian 25, Downtown TOD projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood City</td>
<td>Downtown Precise Plan, Stanford Redwood City Campus</td>
<td>Facebook campus expansion in Menlo Park (Caltrain connection via Dumbarton Rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td>El Camino Real Downtown Specific Plan</td>
<td>Stanford General Use Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
<td>Stanford Hospital Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Ave</td>
<td>Stanford Research Park redevelopment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>San Antonio Precise Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View</td>
<td>El Camino Real Precise Plan, North Bayshore Precise Plan, Moffett Field redevelopment</td>
<td>East Whistman Specific Plan, additional Moffett Field redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Lawrence Station Plan, City Place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose Diridon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Google Campus, Downtown Strategy 2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Hill</td>
<td>Downtown Specific Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilroy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Station Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to Read a Stringline

Distance

Shallow lines show slower trains (Local)

Steep lines show faster trains (Express)

Horizontal lines show station dwell (Time but no distance)
Zone Express: 12 Trains per hour

Stringlines shown in terminal areas of San Francisco and San Jose are placeholder values only and have not been conformed to terminal constraints – service levels and operations within terminal areas subject to further analysis.
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