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SUMMARY OF DRAFT RECOMMENDATION FOR CALTRAIN’S LONG RANGE SERVICE VISION

The following memo supplements the PowerPoint presentation provided to the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board at their August meeting. It provides a high-level summary of the service planning and business case analysis completed as part of the Caltrain Business Plan to date and explains the importance of choosing a “Long Range Service Vision” at this stage of the planning process.

The memo then describes staff’s draft recommendation for the Long Range Service Vision and explains why staff has recommended this specific vision relative to other options considered. Finally, the memo includes a narrative description of the recommended Vision and a draft of the precise language the Board would be asked to consider for adoption in October, pending revisions or changes based on input received from the Board and through planned outreach in August and September.

A LONG RANGE VISION FOR CALTRAIN SERVICE

The Caltrain Business Plan is an expansive planning process that has been ongoing for more than a year. A major focus of the plan has been to develop analyses of different long range service options for Caltrain and to weigh the costs, revenues, benefits and impacts of these options through a detailed “Business Case” analysis. At this stage of the Business Plan process, Caltrain staff has developed and evaluated three distinct “growth scenarios” that provide illustrative options for how the Caltrain service could grow by 2040. Based on this analysis, staff has now developed a single, recommended “Long Range Service Vision” for consideration and potential adoption by the Board.

Choosing a “Long Range Service Vision” is an important milestone in the Business Plan process. Having a clearly articulated goal for the quantity and type of service that the railroad aspires to provide in the future will provide staff with the critical guidance needed to complete the Business Plan. Once adopted, the Long Range Service Vision will create a framework that allows staff to “work backward” from 2040, developing analysis showing how the Vision can be phased, funded and implemented over
time. This analysis will be conducted in the fall of 2019 with a goal of completing the Business Plan by early 2020.

A REGIONAL VISION BUILT ON REGIONAL INVESTMENTS

Selection of a Long Range Service Vision will also allow Caltrain staff to engage efficiently and constructively in the development of other long range plans and projects throughout the region. This is particularly important since the Caltrain corridor interfaces with many different local, state and regional transportation systems and investments. While the Long Range Service Vision is fundamentally focused on Caltrain, the Vision must account for and integrate a vast array of transportation projects planned by corridor cities and regional and state partner agencies. Key projects that directly influence Caltrain’s corridor and long range service ambitions include:

• California’s High Speed Rail system
• The Downtown Extension to the Salesforce Transit Center
• The rebuilding of Diridon Station in San Jose
• Multiple grade separation projects planned and contemplated by corridor cities

The Caltrain Business Plan and Caltrain’s Long Range Vision have been deliberately developed to integrate and build on all these projects. One of the goals of the 2040 Vision is to build a “big tent” that shows how all the investments currently being planned in the corridor fit together as part of a cohesive whole, with expanded Caltrain service further enhancing their value and importance.

It is important to note at the outset, that these regional and partner projects also drive a significant portion of the overall investment costs that are considered within the Long Range Service Vision. Figure 1 shows the total set of capital investments that have been included in the “baseline” growth scenario, broken down by major source.
The costs shown in Figure 1 total to $22.1 billion in 2018 dollars and are divided into three categories:

- **Caltrain Work Underway**: Including electrification and other major capital projects already in progress
- **Investments Planned and Proposed by Caltrain Partners**: Including major terminal projects like the Downtown Extension (DTX) and Diridon Project as well as High Speed Rail investments and grade separations that are already actively being planned by local jurisdictions. While all these projects are in active stages of planning, most are substantially unfunded.
- **New Caltrain Investments to Support the Baseline Growth Scenario**: This category includes the essential investments Caltrain believes are needed by 2040 to support the baseline level of blended service. Examples include additional electrified rolling stock (to fully electrify the fleet and expand all consists to eight-car trains), level boarding, expanded storage and maintenance facilities and additional grade crossing improvements. These projects are not funded.

These costs have been used as the basis, or “baseline,” for looking at the incremental investment that would then be required to achieve the higher levels of Caltrain service contemplated in the “moderate” and “high” growth scenarios.
DEVELOPMENT OF “GROWTH SCENARIOS”

Much of the technical work of the Caltrain Business Plan over the past year has been focused on the development and refinement of three illustrative “Growth Scenarios,” each representing a different option for the kind of service Caltrain could provide in 2040 given different levels of supporting investment. The three scenarios include a “baseline” level of service (consistent with Caltrain’s prior long range planning and the regional and partner projects discussed above) and two additional scenarios that consider what it might look like if Caltrain were to further expand service (the “moderate” and “high” growth scenarios).

Although illustrative, these growth scenarios were developed at a high level of detail through an extensive service planning process (diagramed in Figure 2). Details of each of these scenarios are shown in Figure 3 and can also been reviewed in the accompanying presentation and on the project website, http://www.caltrain2040.org.

FIGURE 2 – GROWTH SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT PROCESS
The process to develop the different growth scenarios evaluated in the Caltrain Business Plan was conducted in a highly transparent and collaborative manner. Throughout the development of the Growth Scenarios, Caltrain staff have met on a monthly basis to share information and discuss findings with a technical team of partner agency staff (the Project Partner Committee) as well as with corridor local jurisdiction staff (the City and County Staff Group) and corridor elected officials (the Local Policy Maker Group). Additionally, the project team has held quarterly stakeholder meetings with a Stakeholder Advisory Group representing over 90 different organizations and has held multiple rounds of one-on-one meetings with every city in the corridor. The team also developed customized “booklets” for each city, showing the impacts and benefits of different growth scenarios on their jurisdiction. All told, Caltrain staff have presented Business Plan materials at over 150 stakeholder meetings over the course of the last year.
WEIGHING CALTRAIN’S CHOICES

The detailed illustrative growth scenarios developed through the service planning process were used to model ridership, specify and estimate the costs of required capital investments, and to model detailed operating costs. These outputs were then used as the basis for developing a “Business Case” analysis of each scenario. The Business Case analysis is a structured framework that helps analyze and weigh the costs and benefits of the different options. The analysis examines five areas, each of which is presented in detail in the accompanying presentation and is discussed briefly in this memo.

FIGURE 4 – AREAS OF THE BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS

SERVICE COMPARISON

The service comparison section of the business case looks at the key service, and service-related qualities of the different scenarios and compares them on a head-to-head basis. The accompanying presentation provides a detailed analysis. In general, the quality of service across the options as measured by various metrics improves as the level of train service and investment increase. Conversely, however, the increased service included in the “high” growth scenario requires the construction of extensive four-track segments in the corridor — complex infrastructure that has the potential to drive significant community impacts. A detailed service comparison is provided in the accompanying presentation and a summary table of key metrics is shown in Figure 5.
FIGURE 5 – SUMMARY OF KEY COMPARATIVE SERVICE METRICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline Growth</th>
<th>Moderate Growth</th>
<th>High Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Stations Served by Frequent Service (&gt;4 TPHPD)</td>
<td>13 Stations</td>
<td>21 Stations</td>
<td>24 Stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest Wait Times At Major Stations Served by All Trains</td>
<td>22 minutes</td>
<td>12 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connectivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Station Pairs Connected Without/With a Transfer</td>
<td>84% (91%)</td>
<td>96% (98%)</td>
<td>99% (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Station Pairs Not Connected at All*</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Network Integration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Connections at Regular Intervals</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ridership</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Ridership (capacity constrained)</td>
<td>151,700 Riders</td>
<td>177,200 Riders</td>
<td>207,300 Riders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable Peak Hour Train Loads?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Some Crowding</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel Time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time, San Francisco (STC) to San Jose (Diridon)</td>
<td>69-73 Minutes</td>
<td>61 Minutes</td>
<td>60 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Travel Time per Rider, All Origin-Destination Pairs</td>
<td>33 Minutes</td>
<td>32 Minutes</td>
<td>31 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing Tracks Needed</td>
<td>&lt;1 Mile</td>
<td>&lt;5 Miles</td>
<td>15-20 Miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Detailed capital cost estimates for each scenario, building incrementally off the “baseline” investments described previously, were developed for the “moderate” and “high” growth scenarios. Figure 6 shows the baseline investment described previously, profiled over time, with the incremental additional investment required to achieve the “moderate” or “high” growth scenarios shown as an additional increment.

FIGURE 6 – TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT BY SCENARIO

All costs have been adjusted to 2018 dollars.
Figure 7 shows the projected 2040 annual operating and maintenance costs for each of the scenarios (in 2018 dollars).

Figure 7 – Total Operating Costs by Scenario

Finally, Figure 8 shows the net present value of total operating costs and projected revenues projected over the 2018-2070 period (the lifecycle timeframe of key investments included in each of the scenarios) along with the average fare box recovery rate across that same period. Additional financial analysis and metrics are reported in the accompanying presentation.

Figure 8 – Net Present Value of Total Operating Costs and Revenues by Scenario, 2018-2070

Operating Deficit
2018-2070 PV
($494M)
($1,024M)
($966M)

Farebox Recovery Average
(2018-2070)
82% Baseline Growth
75% Moderate Growth
77% High Growth

Values shown are present (Year 2018) value using a discount rate of 4.0%
CALTRAIN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The Business Plan team also developed a series of analyses examining the economic impact of different growth scenarios on Caltrain riders. This analysis considers the various ways improved Caltrain service could directly benefit riders, monetizes these benefits, and compares them to costs. This analysis is done on a marginal basis against the baseline scenario, meaning that calculations are based on the incremental costs and benefits of the “moderate” or “high” growth scenarios relative to the baseline. Costs included in the analysis have also been “allocated,” meaning that the overall costs of shared investments (e.g., projects that serve multiple purposes or benefit multiple users beyond just Caltrain) have been proportioned to fairly weigh Caltrain “costs” against Caltrain “benefits.” Calculations are performed for the period between 2040 and 2070, when each growth scenario is assumed to be fully operational. Figure 9 shows directly calculated benefits while Figure 10 shows the net present value of monetized benefits weighed against the value of incremental, allocated costs.

FIGURE 9 – ESTIMATED INCREMENTAL ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO CALTRAIN USERS RELATIVE TO BASELINE, 2040-2070

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Moderate Growth</th>
<th>High Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total*</td>
<td>Per Year Average</td>
<td>Total*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Transit User Travel Time Savings</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>12.9M</td>
<td>0.43M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Transit User Travel Time Savings</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>27.7M</td>
<td>0.92M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT Savings from New Transit Users (Avoided Auto Trips)</td>
<td>vehicle miles</td>
<td>9,000M</td>
<td>300M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Network Safety Improvements</td>
<td>reduced fatal/injury accidents</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Benefits (from Active Transportation Mode Access)</td>
<td>lives saved</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reduced absent days at work</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values rounded for presentation purposes

FIGURE 10 – NET PRESENT VALUE AND BENEFIT / COST RATIO OF CALTRAIN USER BENEFITS WEIGHED AGAINST ALLOCATED COSTS, 2040-2070

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Present Value 2018-2070 PV</th>
<th>Benefit Cost Ratio 2018-2070</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.58B</td>
<td>1.33 Moderate Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.15B</td>
<td>1.04 High Growth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REGIONAL ANALYSIS

The Business Plan team also developed an analysis and qualitative discussion of a number of “regional” benefits that would result based on different levels of investment in the Caltrain system. These benefits accrue to a general population and not just users of the system. These regional benefits are described in detail in the accompanying presentation and are summarized in Figure 11.

FIGURE 11 – SUMMARY OF REGIONAL BENEFITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline Growth</th>
<th>Moderate Growth</th>
<th>High Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeway Throughput</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Freeway Lanes</td>
<td>+4 lanes</td>
<td>+5.5 lanes</td>
<td>+8.5 lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Rail Integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation of Large-Scale Corridor-Sharing Beyond HSR</td>
<td>could be scaled to accommodate</td>
<td>could be scaled to accommodate</td>
<td>can accommodate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG (MTCO2e)</td>
<td>1,106,045</td>
<td>1,896,330</td>
<td>3,006,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Value Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Value Premiums Generated by 2040 Service Growth within 1 Mile of a Station</td>
<td>$10B</td>
<td>$10 - $22B</td>
<td>$22B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Productivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full and Part-time Jobs</td>
<td>44K job-years</td>
<td>51K job-years</td>
<td>69K job-years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FLEXIBILITY AND UNCERTAINTY

Finally, the Business Plan team considered the degree of flexibility and uncertainty inherent in the growth scenarios examined. The detailed service plans developed in each scenario are “illustrative,” not definitive and much work remains both within and beyond the Business Plan process to examine specific service patterns and service levels at individual stations.

Additionally, all the 2040 growth scenarios have been developed in a way that includes and integrates regional projects like High Speed Rail, the Downtown Extension and the rebuilding of Diridon Station. These projects are in various stages of planning and design but all currently lack the funding. There is a great deal of potential uncertainty regarding the timeframe in which they will be delivered and the final form they may ultimately take. Similarly, while larger regional visions for a greatly expanded, integrated rail network are ongoing there is a tremendous amount of uncertainty around how and when these concepts may ultimately manifest.

The issues of service flexibility and uncertainty around regional projects are particularly relevant in the context of understanding where overtake infrastructure may be required. The location and extent of required overtake infrastructure is highly sensitive to what service is being accommodated. This is especially true in the “high” growth scenario where the large volume of blended train traffic creates a
need for long overtakes used by multiple different operators. The “moderate” growth scenario has overtake infrastructure needs that are more modest and can be planned for more discretely.

Finally, this section of the presentation also discusses a number of initial financial sensitivity tests to understand how key business metrics associated with the different growth scenarios may vary in response to changing conditions.

**RECOMMENDED LONG RANGE SERVICE VISION**

**SUMMARY AND BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION**

Caltrain staff has developed a draft recommendation for the Long Range Service Vision. This recommended Vision is described in detail below, but, as it relates to the options studied, the recommendation is that Caltrain adopt and pursue a Vision compatible with the “moderate” growth scenario while also taking a series of steps to plan for and not preclude the potential realization of the “high” growth scenario.

The extensive analysis conducted during the Business Plan process has shown there is a strong demand for expanded Caltrain service and the business case analysis conducted as part of the plan has shown there is a clear case, based in economic and regional benefits, for pursuing a Vision that goes beyond the baseline levels of service previously contemplated. While the high growth option generates the greatest ridership and expanded regional benefits, it also comes at a higher cost and carries significantly higher levels of uncertainty and potential for community impacts. Therefore, based on the assembled evidence, staff has developed a recommendation that would direct Caltrain to pursue a service vision consistent with the “moderate” growth scenario while retaining the ability to expand to a level consistent with the “high” growth scenario at such time as demand warrants or the region has made the policy and funding commitments to pursue a larger, integrated rail system.

**DESCRIBING THE VISION**

The Long Range Service Vision for Caltrain provides a world class service tailored to the future needs of our local communities, the region and the state. It responds to and integrates the committed and planned investments in the Caltrain corridor to deliver the greatest value to the public and region, while maintaining the flexibility to respond as local and regional needs develop.
THE KEY FEATURES OF THE SERVICE VISION INCLUDE:

- Fast and frequent all day (every day) service
  - Total peak hour frequencies of 8 Caltrain trains per direction
  - Faster, all day baby bullet service with express service every 15 minutes
  - Significantly increased off-peak and weekend service levels
  - User friendly, show up and go service with easy to understand schedules

- Increased Capacity
  - Provides the capacity to triple today’s ridership, serving nearly 180,000 people a day
  - Adding more than 5 freeway lanes worth of regional capacity

- Regional Connectivity
  - End to end service- connecting Gilroy to downtown San Francisco (all day, both ways)
  - Comprehensive local service providing coverage to every community
  - Regular service making transfers and connections easier and more predictable

MAJOR ADDITIONAL BENEFITS
The Vision will bring huge benefits beyond direct improvements to service. Once complete, the Vision will deliver:

- 1.3 million hours of travel time savings for existing and new Caltrain riders every year as compared to the baseline scenario
- 300 million vehicle miles not traveled every year as compared to the baseline scenario
- $40.8 billion in regional economic output created by ongoing capital and operating investments
- By 2040 Caltrain service will add between $25 and $37 billion in property value premiums to residential and office properties within one mile of stations. (This analysis is conservative and excludes San Francisco as well as commercial, non-office properties for which estimates could not be reliably developed.)
- The Vision will result in a reduction of nearly 2 million metric tons of CO2 as well as other air quality improvements

READY TO GROW WITH THE REGION
- The Vision has been designed to integrate and add value to the many local, regional and state investments that are being planned in the Caltrain corridor. These include projects like
grade separations, major improvements to terminal infrastructure and stations in San Francisco and San Jose, and the integration of the state’s High Speed Rail system.

- The Vision also anticipates the ongoing role of Caltrain in a regional rail network, that in addition to High Speed Rail, could include a new rail service in the Dumbarton corridor, a second transbay crossing, service to Salinas and ongoing improvements to service on Capital Corridor and ACE.

- As part of the Business Plan process, staff evaluated how the service and infrastructure contemplated in the recommended Vision could scale up to an even “higher” level of growth that would allow for up to 16 trains per hour per direction and even greater regional integration and further expansion of rail. At this time, there is still a great deal of uncertainty around the future of regional rail and Caltrain does not feel we can independently recommend moving forward with a maximum growth approach given the high costs and potential for extensive community impacts.

- Instead, we are recommending a “do not preclude” approach that would allow for this future growth to proceed once key regional decisions and funding commitments are in place. In practice, this means limiting the sale or encumbrance of certain JPB land, accounting for the possibility of more trains when we do terminal and facility planning, and considering the potential need for four tracks as certain grade separations are designed. At the same time, Caltrain will actively participate in evolving regional conversations and will help the region and the state evaluate the feasibility and benefits of an expanded and integrated rail network. If the region is truly prepared to move forward with a full regional rail expansion Caltrain will be ready.

**CAPITAL COSTS**

- Achieving the Vision will also be costly: the total range of all projects contemplated to achieve the Vision from Gilroy to San Jose add up to $25 billion (this includes roughly $2.5 billion of Caltrain investments already paid for and underway).
  - The significant majority of this cost is driven by projects being planned by corridor partners (DTX in San Francisco, grade separations all along the corridor, the potential cost of the Diridon Station project, and HSR improvements- collectively account for more than $16 billion of the total).
  - The goal of the Vision is to help knit these projects together and to add value to all of them by providing greatly improved Caltrain service. Direct Caltrain investments contemplated (beyond the existing projects already underway) total to roughly $6.5 billion)
New sources of funding will clearly be required to address this level of need, even to come close to achieving the baseline. The $22 million a year contributed by member agencies to the capital budget is not going to be sufficient to do any of this.

**OPERATING COSTS**

- Projected 2040 operating annual costs for the Vision are $373.1 million a year in current dollars (compared to about $135 million in 2018). By way of comparison, achieving a “baseline” level of growth would cost about $265 million a year in 2040.
- Financial projections show that the efficiency of the system will remain high- we are projecting an average farebox recovery ratio of 75% (holding today’s fare levels constant with inflation). Nonetheless, the need for subsidy will grow as the size of the system increases. Caltrain may need as much as $90 million a year in operating subsidy (compared to the roughly $36 million in subsidy it receives today- $30 million of which come from local member agencies). As the Business Plan continues we will be exploring ways to further increase system efficiency and generate additional revenues that would offset the need for direct subsidy. Nonetheless, new funding is clearly needed.

**INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS**

- The Vision is not one project – it can be implemented incrementally over time with improvements to service and capacity delivered along the way. During the remainder of the Business Plan Caltrain will work to identify key incremental steps that can be delivered in the near- and medium term timeframes.
- We don’t need to wait until 2040- the first major improvement in service is coming soon. Electrification, in 2022 is the first step and will mark a substantial step forward toward the realization of this vision with significant service improvements throughout the corridor.
CALTRAIN’S LONG RANGE SERVICE VISION – DRAFT LANGUAGE

The following is the specific, draft “Service Vision” language that the JPB would be asked to consider for adoption in October. This language will be reviewed and revised based on input from the Board and comments received through stakeholder and public outreach.

1) Caltrain’s Long Range Service Vision directs the railroad to plan for a substantially expanded rail service that will address the local and regional mobility needs of the corridor while supporting local economic development activities. When fully realized, this service will provide;

   A. A mixture of express and local Caltrain services operated in an evenly spaced, bi-directional pattern

   B. Minimum peak hour frequencies of;
      • 8 trains per hour per direction on the JPB-owned corridor between Tamien Station in San Jose and San Francisco, extended to Salesforce Transit Center at such time as the Downtown Extension is completed
      • 4 trains per hour per direction between Blossom Hill and Tamien Stations, subject to the securing of necessary operating rights
      • 2 trains per hour per direction between and Gilroy and Blossom Hill Stations, subject to the securing of necessary operating rights

   C. Off-peak and weekend frequencies of between 2 and 6 trains per hour per direction north of Blossom Hill and hourly between Gilroy and Blossom Hill, with future refinements to be based on realized demand

   D. Accommodation of California High Speed Rail trains, in accordance with the terms of existing and future blended system agreements between the JPB and the California High Speed Rail Authority

   E. Delivery of these services will occur through the incremental development of corridor projects and infrastructure to be further defined through individual planning process, feasibility studies and community engagement. At this time, such infrastructure is conceptually understood to include;
      i. Investments in rail systems including a new, high performance signal system
      ii. Station modifications including platform lengthening, level boarding, and investments in station access facilities and amenities to support growing ridership and improve customer experience
iii. New and modified maintenance and storage facilities in the vicinity of both terminals as well as the expansion of the electrified Caltrain fleet

iv. A series of short, 4-track stations and overtakes at various points throughout the corridor

v. Completion of key regional and state partner projects including
   1. The Downtown Extension to the Salesforce Transit Center
   2. The reconstruction of Diridon Station and surrounding rail infrastructure
   3. The reconstruction and electrification of the rail corridor south of Control Point Lick to the Gilroy Station
   4. Additional improvements to allow for the operation of High Speed Rail service between Gilroy and San Francisco
   5. The substantial grade separation of the corridor as well as safety upgrades to any remaining at-grade crossings, undertaken in a coordinated strategic manner driven by the desires of individual local jurisdictions as well as legal requirements associated with any proposed 4-track segments.

2) Caltrain’s Long Range Service Vision further directs the railroad to continue its consideration of a potential “higher” growth level of service in the context of major regional and state rail planning. Specifically, the Long Range Service Vision directs the railroad to;

   A. Work with regional and state partners to study and evaluate both the feasibility and desirability of higher levels of service in the context of major regional and state rail initiatives including planning related to the Dumbarton Rail Corridor, the 2nd Transbay Crossing, the potential for expanded ACE and Capitol Corridor services, and ongoing planning for the California High Speed Rail system.

   B. To take certain actions to consider and, where feasible, not preclude such higher levels of service as they specifically relate to;
      i. The planning of rail terminals and related facilities
      ii. The sale or permanent encumbrance of JPB land
      iii. The design of grade separations in areas where 4-track segments may be required
      iv. The sizing of future maintenance facilities and storage yards
C. To return to the board with a recommendation regarding any formal expansion of the Long Range Service Vision at such a time as clear regional and state policy and funding commitments are in place and the feasibility of such an option on the corridor has been confirmed.

3) Finally, Caltrain’s Long Range Service Vision directs the railroad to periodically reaffirm the Vision to ensure that it continues to provide relevant and useful guidance to the railroad. Such reaffirmations should occur:

A. At a regular intervals of no less than 5 years

B. In response to significant changes to JPB or partner projects that materially influence the substance of the Long Range Service Vision